

Interoperability Data for Malta, 2012

1. Interoperability as a strategic goal	
1.1. Strategic Priority on Interoperability	Yes (2011)
<p>The anticipated developments with regard to interoperability are driven by the comprehensive Maltese e-Government IT strategy, titled <i>The Smart Island 2008-2010</i>, which emphasizes the need to “establish a rigorous enterprise interoperability framework to be adopted as a standard requirement to be adhered to across all systems deployment in government.”</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(2011) [8, 9]</p>	
1.2. National Interoperability Strategy Status	Not available
2. National Interoperability Frameworks	
2.1. National Interoperability Framework Status	
2.1.1. Title	e-Government Interoperability Framework [9]
2.1.2. Version	1.0 (2011) [9]
2.1.3. Release Date	July 2002 (2011) [9]
2.1.4. Focus / Scope	Conception, Implementation (2011) [9]
2.1.5. Audience	Government sector (2011)
2.1.6. Status	Under development (2011)
<p>(The old version of the NIF is available (Scope, Last Version and Release Date refer to the old version). Currently the NIF is being revisited as part of overall 4-year eGovernment Strategy.)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(2011) [9]</p>	
2.1.7. Responsible Agency	Malta Information Technology Agency (MITA) http://www.mita.gov.mt (2011) [9]
2.2. Compatibility of National Interoperability Framework with the European Interoperability Framework	Yes (2011)
<p>“Malta is increasing its presence in Interoperability related Expert Groups and Working Parties across the EU Commission initiatives managed by IDABC and now by the ISA program. This is an indication of Malta’s interest in addressing the increasing requirements for promoting both internal and Pan European interoperability”.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(2011) [10]</p>	
3. Interoperability Projects and Activities	
3.1. Number of interoperability-related projects of local or national scope	Moderate
<p>National-Public Administration Portal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Malta's e-Government services portal, relying on the e-ID (the single most trusted authentication mechanism) to provide a one-stop-shop for all eGovernment services. The portal allows the management of the user’s e-ID profile which contains personal details as well as functions for assignment and delegation. Citizens may “delegate” their eServices to other citizens (who have an e-ID) or to registered organisations. Through www.mygov.mt, the e-ID may also be used by organisations (e.g. businesses and administrations) which may “assign” the management of the eServices to an “Organisation Manager” who has an e-ID. (www.mygov.mt) [1]. 	

- **Next Generation e-Government Platform** (launched November 2011), is considered to be the evolution of Government's electronic services with the main aim of promoting citizen centricity. The platform intends to give citizens and businesses greater transparency, more personalised services, more influence over service design and delivery and a greater level of trust. Along with the platform, the Government also launches 55 new services, which combine with the 93 electronic services available to date. A total of 150 services will be launched by mid-2012 and 350 services by the end of 2012 (<https://forms.mygov.mt/>) [2].

E-Government Backbone: -

Research & Education Network: -

Environmental Geoportal: EU-Funded

Marine Data Management Infrastructure: EU-Funded

Legislation & e-Justice System: -

e-Health System: EU-Funded

e-Tax Portal & Infrastructure:

- **e-IRDMT** (Inland Revenue Services On-Line), aiming to re-engineer the information processing of its high volume transactions and simplify compliance to provide better customer services to Taxpayers and reduce operational costs (<http://www.ird.gov.mt/>) [3].

Other projects:

- **CCS** (Customer Care System), aiming to provide the general public a facility to log complaints, make a suggestion and/or request information (hereafter referred to as 'incidents') and track the processing status of the former. (<http://www.servizz.gov.mt>) [4].
- **eReg-ADT** (Motor Vehicle Registration & Licensing Malta) providing all motor vehicle agents, auto dealers as well as the general public the facility to register vehicles online directly with the Licensing and Testing Directorate (LTD) within the Malta Transport Authority (MTA), obtain the Registration Value (RV) of a used Private Motor Vehicle including the amount of Registration Tax which considers specific variables like CO₂, length, and particulate matter (for diesel powered vehicles only) in line with the Motor Vehicle Registration Tax Act, acquire and book a personalised number plate online, allow owners of vehicles registered in 2008 to check on credit rebates and optionally migrate from the 2008 registration tax regime to the new regime (<https://secure2.gov.mt/vehicleregistration/home>) [5].
- **Electronic Public Procurement System** (published October 2011), which, with the inclusion of e-Tenders will fully modernize and simplify the way in which public procurement is carried out. The new e-Tendering system will assist prospective tenderers in compiling their offer, which will reduce to a great extent the risk of incorrect or missing information [2].

[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

3.2. Number of EU-funded interoperability-related projects	Moderate (2011)
3.2.1. Indicative projects	

- **NET-EUCEN** (European Network for Enhanced User Centricity in eGovernment) aiming to create, animate and manage a working network of stakeholders in the Governance and Policy Modelling domains belonging to all European countries, with relevant knowledge of massive on-line service fruition, eInclusion policies and interests, thus covering the whole supply chain of the Service for Users (S4U) (<http://www.net-eucen.org>) [8].
- **Plan4all** (Plan4all geoportal) focusing on the harmonization of spatial planning data based on the existing best practices in EU regions and municipalities and on the base of results of current research project (<http://www.plan4all.eu/>) [9].
- **CALLIOPE**: "CALL for InterOPERability" is a network of collaborating organisations mandated with the planning and implementation of eHealth (<http://www.calliope-network.eu/>) [10].
- **SeaDataNet** (Pan-European Infrastructure for Ocean and Marine Data Management, April 2006 – March 2011), aiming to develop an efficient distributed Pan-European Marine Data Management Infrastructure for managing large and diverse marine research data sets, and to network the existing professional data centers of 35 countries, active in data collection, and provide integrated databases of standardized quality on-line (<http://www.seadatanet.org/>) [11].
- **SeaDataNet II** (Pan-European infrastructure for ocean and marine data management, Oct 2011- Sept 2015) aiming to upgrade the present SeaDataNet infrastructure into an operationally robust and state-of-the-art Pan-European infrastructure for providing up-to-date and high quality access to ocean and marine metadata, data and data products originating from data acquisition activities by all engaged coastal states, by setting, adopting and promoting common data management standards and by realising technical and semantic interoperability with other relevant data management systems and initiatives on behalf of science, environmental management, policy making, and economy (<http://www.seadatanet.org/>) [12].
- **TRANSFORM** (Translational research and patient safety in Europe, March 2010 – Feb 2015), aiming to develop rigorous, generic methods for the integration of Primary Care clinical and research activities, to support patient safety and clinical research (<http://www.transformproject.eu/>) [13].
- **CLARIN** (Common language resources and technology infrastructure, Jan 2008 – June 2011), with the goal to develop and operate a shared distributed infrastructure, making available language resources and technology to the humanities and social sciences research communities, based on data and interoperability standards (<http://www.clarin.eu/external/>) [14].

(2011) [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]

4. National Interoperability Practices

4.1. Number of Interoperability Cases with Good Practice Label

Low

- **CCS** (Customer Care System) - a web-based service owned, managed and maintained by the Charter Support Unit within the Office of the Prime Minister, being in use for over 7 years. The main purpose of the service is to provide the general public a facility to log complaints, make a suggestion and/or request information (hereafter referred to as 'incidents') and track the processing status of the former. These incidents vary significantly in type and urgency and require the attention of officials) within one or more Public Sector entities (<http://www.servizz.gov.mt>) [4]. (ePractice Good Practice Label 2009)

- **eReg-ADT** (Motor Vehicle Registration & Licensing Malta) providing all motor vehicle agents, auto dealers as well as the general public the facility to register vehicles online directly with the Licensing and Testing Directorate (LTD) within the Malta Transport Authority (MTA), obtain the Registration Value (RV) of a used Private Motor Vehicle including the amount of Registration Tax which considers specific variables like CO₂, length, and particulate matter (for diesel powered vehicles only) in line with the Motor Vehicle Registration Tax Act, acquire and book a personalized number plate online, allow owners of vehicles registered in 2008 to check on credit rebates and optionally migrate from the 2008 registration tax regime to the new regime (<https://secure2.gov.mt/vehicleregistration/home>) [5]. (ePractice Good Practice Label 2009)
- **e-IRDMT** (Inland Revenue Services On-Line), aiming to re-engineer the information processing of its high volume transactions and simplify compliance to provide better customer services to Taxpayers and reduce operational costs (<http://www.ird.gov.mt/>) [3, 6]. (European eGovernment Awards Finalist 2009, Capgemini Good Practice Label)

[3, 4, 5, 6]

4.2. Best Interoperability Practice

4.2.1. Title

Customer Care System (CCS) (2011)

4.2.2. Description

Customer Care System (CCS) - a web-based service owned, managed and maintained by the Charter Support Unit within the Office of the Prime Minister, being in use for over 7 years. The main purpose of the service is to provide the general public a facility to log complaints, make a suggestion and/or request information (hereafter referred to as 'incidents') and track the processing status of the former. These incidents vary significantly in type and urgency and require the attention of officials (within one or more Public Sector entities).

[4]

4.2.3. Status

Project ongoing since June 2001. Operational since July 2002.

(2011)

4.2.4. Indicative interoperability aspects covered

- Service Portals
- Identification
- Security and Authentication
- Information Management

(2011)

4.2.5. Impact

Benefits:

- CCS provides full accountability. All incidents can be tracked at all times and customers can view information including where their incident has been sent and who (users) is responsible for resolution.
- Incident resolution deadlines (set according to incident nature) are most likely to be adhered to since system issues automated reminders if deadlines are passed without action.
- The entire system is more efficient since submissions can be both accessed and transmitted far more quickly than would be the case for a paper-based system.
- The benefits for citizens with CCS are 24/7 access to their incidents and the ease with which they can track progress.

Lessons Learnt:

- Strong political drive: The Minister responsible for IT and Communications has been a vocal and visible eGovernment champion, driving forward - for example - a commitment to having 90% of Government services available online. This drive, combined with his parallel push to delegate more functions to local Government, was the key foundation for the Customer Care System (CCS).
- Strong ICT infrastructure: The Maltese Government made an early commitment to a pervasive and robust ICT infrastructure in the form of the Malta Government Network (MAGNET), a metropolitan area network that links all Government entities. Without such a foundation, e-Transparency applications like CCS would have been much harder to implement.
- Common web standards: Although not essential to e-Transparency applications, the decision to adopt a common Web framework and common Web development standards (including 'look and feel' elements) across all eGovernment applications, helped to streamline the CCS development process and to shorten the delivery timescales.
- Digital divide: Until a few years back, Malta had a strong digital divide which held back the viability and value of e-Transparency applications. Although Internet access is not as limited as it was up to a few years back, access issues, though, do still remain - they are seen to particularly affect the older members of the Maltese population, of whom around 20% are of retirement age; and to relate to skills, awareness and attitudes perhaps more than to physical access. The Government's Ministry responsible for IT and Communications had initiated a number of nation-wide projects aimed at increasing Internet use which were a huge success.
- Design must be citizen-centered, not Government-centered: Screens and messages for e-Transparency systems must be designed in a way that the citizen understands, rather than designed from the 'insider' perspective of the public servant.
- E-Transparency can drive an information society: There is a mutual relationship between Internet access rates and e-Transparency applications; access rates must rise above a certain level before eTransparency becomes genuinely viable. Equally, though, e-Transparency applications like CCS drive up Internet access rates, and help push a country more quickly towards becoming an information society.

(2011)

5. e-Government Interoperability

5.1. Interoperability Level of core e-Government services to citizens / businesses	100.0% (2010) [18]
5.2. Connected Government Status	5.5% (2008) [19]

6. e-Business Interoperability

6.1. Intra-organizational Integration Level	57.0% [7]
6.2. Cross-organization Integration Level	42.0% [7]
6.3. Cross-organization Application-to-Application Integration Level	21.0% [7]
6.4. e-Invoicing Status	39.0% [7]
6.5. B2B Data Standards Usage	
6.5.1. EDI-based standards	<i>Not available</i>
6.5.2. XML-based standards	<i>Not available</i>
6.5.3. Proprietary standards	<i>Not available</i>

 **Interoperability Barometer**

6.5.4. other technical standards	<i>Not available</i>
6.6. Interoperability Awareness	
6.6.1. Within their sector	<i>Not available</i>
6.6.2. Between sectors	<i>Not available</i>
6.6.3. For producing or providing products and services	<i>Not available</i>

References

1. ePractice.eu. Cases: The Malta e-ID. Retrieved from <http://www.epractice.eu/en/cases/electronicidmalta> (Last Edited Date: 04 November 2009).
2. ePractice.eu. (2011). "eGovernment factsheets: eGovernment in Malta", Edition 15.0, December 2011. Retrieved from <http://www.epractice.eu/files/eGovernmentMalta.pdf>
3. ePractice.eu. Cases: IR Services On-Line. Retrieved from <http://www.epractice.eu/en/cases/eirdmt> (Last Edited Date: 02 October 2009).
4. ePractice.eu. Cases: Customer Care System. Retrieved from <http://www.epractice.eu/en/cases/customercare> (Last Edited Date: 30 November 2009).
5. ePractice.eu. Cases: Motor Vehicle Registration & Licensing Malta. Retrieved from <http://www.epractice.eu/en/cases/ereg> (Last Edited Date: 30 November 2009).
6. Capgemini. (2009). "Smarter, Faster, Better eGovernment", 8th Benchmark Measurement, November 2009. Retrieved from link.
7. European Commission – Eurostat: Information society statistics, Policy indicators. Retrieved from <http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/>.
8. ePractice.eu. Factsheets: Malta Interoperability Factsheet. Retrieved from <http://www.epractice.eu/files/Malta.pdf>.
9. Gartner. (2009). NIFO project – Final report: "A Report for European Commission Directorate General for Informatics", Version 130, May 2009, retrieved from link.
10. Malta Information Technology Agency. (2011). Retrieved from <https://www.mita.gov.mt/page.aspx?pageid=273>.
11. ePractice.eu. Cases: Network of European Stakeholders for Enhanced User Centricity in eGovernance <http://www.epractice.eu/en/cases/neteuken> (Last Edited Date: 12 September 2011).
12. ePractice.eu. Cases: Plan4all geoportal. Retrieved from <http://www.epractice.eu/en/cases/plan4all> (Last Edited Date: 16 February 2011).
13. ePractice.eu. Cases: CALL for InterOPERability. Retrieved from <http://www.epractice.eu/en/cases/calliope> (Last Edited Date: 09 November 2009).
14. European Commission – CORDIS: SeaDataNet - A Pan-European Infrastructure For Ocean And Marine Data Management. Retrieved from link (Update Date: 2011-03-31).
15. European Commission – CORDIS: SeaDataNet II - A Pan-European Infrastructure For Ocean And Marine Data Management. Retrieved from link (Update Date: 2011-10-31).
16. European Commission – CORDIS: Translational research and patient safety in Europe (Transform). Retrieved from link (Update Date: 2011-10-03).
17. European Commission - CORDIS: Common language resources and technology infrastructure (CLARIN). Retrieved from link (Update Date: 2011-11-22).
18. Capgemini. (2010). "Digitizing Public Services in Europe: Putting ambition into action" (9th Measurement, December 2010). Retrieved from link.
19. United Nations. (2008). "eGovernment Survey 2008: From eGovernment to Connected Governance", New York 2008. Retrieved from link.